

REMARKS

Consideration and entry of the above amendment to the claims is respectfully requested.

In the Advisory Action dated July 19, 2006, the Examiner had indicated that Claim 1 was improperly identified as being "currently amended" but the Examiner failed to see any amendments made to the claim. Applicants observe that in the Response under 35 C.F.R. §1.116 dated June 30, 2006, Claim 1, together with Claims 17 and 19, were amended. For purposes of appeal, the Examiner indicated that the amendments to Claims 17 and 19 would be entered, but Claim 1 was objected to because it allegedly included the wrong status identifier.

Applicants observe that in the §1.116 Response dated June 30, 2006, the first line of Claim 1 was amended by adding a ":". Since Claim 1 was objected in the Advisory Action, this Supplemental Response corrects the above.

Applicants thus submit that claims for going on appeal are as shown in the above listing.

Respectfully submitted,



Leslie S. Szivos
Registration No. 39,394

Scully, Scott, Murphy & Presser, P.C.
400 Garden City Plaza – Suite 300
Garden City, New York 11530
516-742-4343
Customer No. 23389
LSS/vh